

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/656,309 | 09/05/2003 | Robert St-Amour | 40128/00701 | 8193 |
| . 7. | 590 07/12/2005 | 07/12/2005 EXAMINER | | INER |
| FAY KAPLUN & MARCIN, LLP | | | GABOR, OTILIA | |
| Suite 702 150 Broadway | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| New York, NY 10038 | | | 2878 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | A | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/656,309 | ST-AMOUR ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAN INC DATE of this communication and | Otilia Gabor | 2878 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Se | eptember 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This | ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the office of the property of the second of the correction of the office of the second of | e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object. | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/05/03;02/17/04. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/656,309 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Canada on 09/06/2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the above application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Descales et al. (U. S. Patent 6,070,128).

Regarding claims 1, 3-5, 6, 8, 15, 21, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 35 Descales

Art Unit: 2878

discloses an apparatus and method for evaluating a sample material and predicting certain properties of the sample material using FT-NIR analysis. The apparatus comprises means (spectrometer) to generate a set of spectral data from the sample and means to analyze (computer processor) the set of spectral data, which means also includes a set of data that is indicative of features of the sample that is relevant to determine or predict such a property and how that property will change the overall process in which the material sample is used. In operation, the infrared spectrometer is linked to a computer programmed so that the property or yield can be determined continuously in real time. The spectrometer is suitable for measuring spectra in the 600-2600 nm range, which mostly corresponds to the NIR range of the IR range. The prediction of the yield of the determined property is done by comparing the detected spectral data to the previously recorded set of spectral data and/or mathematical algorithm indicative of a certain property and yield. The spectral data is generated by irradiating the sample with radiation in the specific range, having a specific frequency (NIR range that has frequency in the 12800-4000 cm⁻¹ range) and detecting the absorbed radiation using a detector, which is part of the spectrometer. Descales fails to specifically disclose that the sample is a printing medium sample which is used in a printing process, however since he discloses that his method can be utilized in a variety of sample materials used in a variety of processes where the property and

yield needs to be determined in order to predict how this property will affect the material,

it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2878

invention was made to use the process of Descales with a sample that is used in the printing process.

Regarding claims 2, 16, 22, 24 Descales discloses that the computer is linked to the spectrometer and it is programmed to control what happens to the sample based on the measured property and yield (see Col.17, line 50-Col.19, line 28).

Regarding claims 7, 17, 29, 36 Descales discloses that the spectral data set is determined based on the sample's response to different and complimentary irradiations in the IR and NIR wavelength range (see Tables), where through this irradiation with multiple wavelengths the sample is tested for different mechanical, physical and functional properties (see Col.19, lines 28-47) by comparing the reference with the measured spectra.

Regarding claims 9-11 Descales discloses that the spectral data sets are generated by first generating light having a predetermined frequency characteristics; irradiating the sample with this light; absorbing light in the sample; detecting the light not absorbed in the sample; collecting the radiation response over time; converting the radiation response to an analog response; converting the analog to a digital response; supplying this digital response to a computer; and mathematically converting the response into a spectral response (i.e., FTIR processing).

Regarding claims 18-20, 36-40 Descales discloses sets of spectral responses generated at different wavelengths and their deviations from the reference spectral sets whereby only data that fits in the determined (calculated) tolerance range will be further

processed and used to correlate the physical, mechanical and functional properties of the sample (see Tables).

Regarding claims 12, 13, 32, 33 Descales detects the characteristic properties of the sample based on the absorption data, and thus fails to specifically disclose that the obtained spectral data is compared to a reflected or scattered reference spectral data, however such comparison is within the skill of one in the ordinary skill in the art since it is well known that when absorption is to be determined the radiation that is not absorbed is the one that is detected and such a radiation is one that is reflected or scattered by the sample. Also, in order that reflected and/or scattered radiation is detected the detector has to be positioned at an angle relative to the sample, for otherwise none of the reflected or scattered radiation can be detected.

Regarding claims 14, 34 Descales fails to specifically disclose that the sample is in a gas-tight enclosure, however since it discloses that real time on-line measurement is being done on samples some of which inherently contain volatile components (fuels in diesel engines), it would have been obvious to have these components in a gas-tight enclosure to secure the safety in the measuring environment.

Regarding claim 31 Descales fails to disclose a portable unit for remote probing of the sample, however it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include such a feature so that volatile hazardous samples can be safely monitored.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,309 Page 6

Art Unit: 2878

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Rabolt et al. (6,784,428); Koguchi et al. (5,578,824); McFarland et al. (6,849,460); Schwartz et al. (2005/0031910); Mueller et al. (2001/0055052).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Otilia Gabor whose telephone number is 571-272-2435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Thursday-Friday between 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Otilia Gabor Primary Examiner

Old. Cale